Richard A. Sipos (Bar No. 126982)   Garret D. Murai (Bar No. 215667)   WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP	
16 RICHARD TRAVERSO,   Case No. C07-3629 MJJ	
Plaintiff, NOTICE OF MOTION A COMPEL FURTHER RE	
vs. WRITTEN DISCOVERY COMPEL THE DEPOSIT	AND TO
CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,  WILLIAM HOOPER AND POWERS	
Defendants. Times 0.20 a m	18, 2008
Courtroom: 11 (19 <sup>th</sup>	m. <sup>h</sup> Floor) Iartin J. Jenkins
Action Democrade July 12	
Trial Date: April 7,	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
014965.0004\838848.1	

5

3

8

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, CA 94607-4036

16

23

26

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on March 18, 2008 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 11 (19<sup>th</sup> Floor) of the Court located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, Plaintiff RICHARD TRAVERSO will move the Court for an order directing Defendant CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC. ("Clear Channel") to serve further responses to written discovery and to produce for deposition Messrs. William Hooper and Patrick Powers, pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 37.

Plaintiff's motion is made on the following grounds:

- 1. Plaintiff served written discovery on Clear Channel.
- 2. Clear Channel initially refused to produce documents or answer interrogatories. However, during the meet and confer, Clear Channel agreed to produce documents as well as provide certain information to Plaintiff. Despite Clear Channel's representations, it has not produced all the documents or information agreed upon during the meet and confer. Further, Clear Channel has sought the same documents and information from Plaintiff, which Plaintiff has produced, but which Clear Channel continues to refuse to produce.
- 3. Clear Channel has further refused to produce two of its employees for deposition, although their depositions were set within the time to complete discovery, and Plaintiff made himself available for deposition, and sat for a day long deposition, after discovery cut-off.

Plaintiff's motion shall be based on this Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Further Responses to Written Discovery and to Compel the Depositions of William Hooper and Patrick Powers; the attached memorandum of points and authorities, separate statement, declarations of Joseph P. McMonigle and Garret D. Murai, and the deposition transcript of Richard Traverso; and any other pleadings or other evidence as may be submitted.

Dated: February 11, 2008

WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP

/s/ Richard A. Sipos By: Richard A. Sipos Attorneys for Plaintiff

RICHARD TRAVERSO